

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/743,876 02/05/2001		02/05/2001	Hans-Georg Schwarz	Mo-6110/LeA 33,159	9065
157	7590	10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
BAYER P		RS LLC	SHAMEEM, GOLAM M		
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1626	
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/743,876	SCHWARZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Golam M M Shameem	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app P riod for Reply	pears on the cover sh et with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02.5	<u>September 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7,10 and 11</u> are subject to restriction Application Papers	n and/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	- · ·	• •					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/743,876

Art Unit: 1626

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This office action supersedes the previous office action of paper No. 6 which has been entered in the file. Claims 1-7 and 10-11 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Lack of Unity Requirement

Restriction is based on PCT Rule 13.1, 13.2 and Annex B part 1(b) together with 37 CFR 1.475 and 1.499 for lacking unity of invention because of lacking a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical features.

Claims 1-7 and 10-11 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features, which as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

"The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

(i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially

Application/Control Number: 09/743,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product, or

- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process, or
- (iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process,..."

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-3 drawn to a compound of a formula (I) (wherein Z is 4-membered heterocyclic ring) classified in class 548 with plethora of subclasses.
- II. Claims 1-3 drawn to a compound of a formula (I) (wherein Z is 5-membered heterocyclic ring having 1 nitrogen) classified in class 548 with several subclasses.
- III. Claims 1-3 drawn to a compound of a formula (I) (wherein Z is 5-membered heterocyclic ring having 2 nitrogen) classified in class 548 with several subclasses.
- IV. Claims 1-6 drawn to a compound of a formula (I) (wherein Z is 5-membered heterocyclic ring having 3 nitrogen) classified in class 548 with several subclasses.
- V. Claims 1-3 drawn to a compound of a formula (I) (wherein Z is 6-membered heterocyclic ring) classified in classes 544, 546 with plethora of subclasses.
- VI. Claims 1-3 drawn to a compound of a formula (I) (wherein Z is 7-membered heterocyclic ring) classified in class 540 with plethora of subclasses.
- VII. Claims 10 and 11 drawn to a method of use of a compound of a formula (I) classified in class 514 with several subclasses.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,876

Art Unit: 1626

The inventions listed as Groups I and VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features that define a contribution over the prior art. The invention Groups I-VII outlined above each relate to a set of structurally diverse and dissimilar compounds which do not possess a substantial common core wherein a reference anticipating one would not necessarily render the other obvious. Accordingly, the unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02 (a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR

Art Unit: 1626

1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicants preserve their right to file a divisional on the non-elected subject matter.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Golam Shameem, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7922. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592. When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mcKane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive

Art Unit: 1626

data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Golam M M Shameem, Ph.D.

Allashameem

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1

October 24, 2003